



1st Assessment / Proposal Assessment for PhD and Master by Research







Faculty Academic Committee's Decision

Compulsory submission of 1 st assessment (proposal) on specific semester:

PhD – semester 4 Master by research – semester 3

Failure to submit would lead to unsatisfactory status (TM)







	PHASE 1	PHASE 2
Targeted group	Students exceeding the compulsory semester: > Sem 3 (Master) > Sem 4 (PhD)	Students on the compulsory semester: Sem 3 (Master) Sem 4 (PhD)
Submission date	Week 4 (4 th -8 th April)	Week 12 (30 th May – 3 rd June)
Proposal date (Proposal assessment date will be set and informed later by the academic office)	Week 7 & 8	Week 17 & 18





What is required for a PhD proposal?

- Compulsory submission on the designated weeks (Phase 1/ Phase 2).
- No need to notice for proposal submission (bulk endorsement).
- Students' proposal can be written and submitted in accordance with the minimum standard of 30 - 40 pages as endorsed by the faculty's Academic Committee. But please abide by the format of academic writing.





GUIDELINE FOR WHAT MUST BE INCLUDED IN A PROPOSAL REPORT (Applicable for Master's dissertation, Master's thesis and PhD thesis)

The objective of proposal assessments is to ensure that students are heading to the right direction in their research. Besides assessing to determine whether students have reached the level expected for a certain level of study, a proposal assessment also serves as a platform for students to improve and strengthen their research.

The assessment of a proposal is based on the proposal report as well as student's oral defense. Students should be able to explain their research well in writing and/or orally (the expectations for each content are listed below).

Students' proposal can be written and submitted in accordance with the minimum standard of 30-40 pages as endorsed by the faculty JKA.

Content	Expectations Must reflect the research in one concise statement - Not exceeding 15 words - No abbreviations or semi colons etc - Involve all main variables		
Title			
Chapter 1			
Introduction	General view of the focus area of study philosophical view scenario purpose of study 		
Background of Study	 Argument leading to the research problem Highlight research gap Discussion of the arguments should be able to answer questions of 'what', 'when', 'where', 'why', 'who' (5Ws) Contemporary issues Research gap Evidence and support (data) 		
Statement of Problem	 Highlight Research gap Statement that reflects the research topic, variables and objectives of the study. 		
Research Objectives	 Must relate to the background Must be educational and research setting Objectives should be viable and do-able 		
Research Questions	Matched with the objectives of the study and must be written in question forms.		
Hypothesis	Depends on the requirement of the research		
Importance of the study	Contribution of the study to the researched population/ people involved in the study, stakeholders, area(s) of study or knowledge discipline		
Scope andlelimitation of the study	 Scope : the areas of study; De Limitation : sampling, location/place of research, statistical methods 		

Theoretical and conceptual framework	in the second			
Definition	 All variables/ constructs studied must be defined : conceptual operational definitions for each word/ phrase Operational definitions of variables must align with the theory instrument used in the study 			
Chapter 2				
Preview and review of relat	ed literature :			
 Discussions of rele framework 	o on main concepts/ variables evant theories/ models – particularly the ones that are used in the theore			
 Literature must incl 	research related to the objectives of the current study lude recent publications unless the studies are really significant / rare. nonstrate ability to critically analyse the literature t citations			
Chapter 3				
Research design	 Explanation and justification of the research design and approach The research design should be able to lead to the investigation t answer the research questions. 			
Population and sample	Descriptions and justifications of population, number of sample/ particip and sampling method - Use of diagrams to illustrate is highly recommended			
Instrumentation	Instrument(s) used Validity and reliability of the instruments Instruments/tools/inventory/ intervention program			
Data collection procedure	technical and ethical measures taken in data collection.			
Data analysis Explanation and justification of the technique of analyses to answer the research questions - Software / tools planned to be used				
Research planning	Planning of research until completion - Gantt chart (duration) is recommended			



Tai

+++



Chairman's Report (Results)

UTM.SPS.B (BPB)61/2017-Pind.0/2017

LAPORAN PENGERUSI PENILAIAN PERINGKAT PERTAMA UNTUK IJAZAH SARJANA FALSAFAH/IJAZAH SARJANA PENDIDIKAN (KERJA KURSUS & PENYELIDIKAN) / DOKTOR FALSAFAH (CHAIRMAN EVALUATION REPORT ON FIRST ASSESMENT FOR MASTER OF PHILOSOPHY/MASTER OF EDUCATION (MIXED MODE) /DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY)

Nama <mark>Pelajar</mark> (Name of Candidate)	:	
No. Kad Pengenalan/ISID (Identification No.)	:	
Program Pengaijan (Programme for Study)	:	
Semester Pengajian* (Semester of Study)	:	Daktor Ealsafah: (3/16) Sariana; (/8)
Mod <u>Pengaijan</u> .* (Mode of Study)		Full Research (\checkmark) Mixed Mode ()
Kutsus Benyelidikan, Kajian* (Research Methodology Course)	:	Selsas Manzikuti/ Belum Manzikuti/ Selsai.(Isnakapkan maklur dibayah 1. Kod Kursus: UHPP0010 2. Gred : HL 3. Semester Ambil : 20192020-1
Kursus Umun Universiti (University Elective Course)	:	Selene Moneikuti/ Belum Mcneikuti/ Selenei (lonekopkan.uvklut dikuvuti 1. Kod Kursus: UHAZ6123 2. Gred: IKREDIT: A- 3. Semester Ambil: 20192020-1
Tajuk, Cadangan Penyelidikan (Title of Research Proposal)	:	5. Outrone Funder, 20192020-1

Kami sebagai Panel Benilai Beringkat Bertama Benyelidikan kenada pelaiar di atas, telah menilai cadangan, nenyelidikan ini pada 21/2/2021 (Sunday)dan telah mencanai satu keputusan seperti yang berikut; (We as the Panel of Evaluation of Research Proposal for the above student, have evaluated the Student's Research Proposal on 21/2/2021 (Sunday) and arrived at the decision as stated below):

P1) Cadangan penyelidikan diterima: (The research proposal is accepted): atau (or) Cadangan penyelidikan diterima dengan pembetulan. Butir pembetulan diberikan di bawah (The research proposal is accepted with amendments. Details of amendments are given below

- P2) Pembetulan perlu disahkan oleh Penyelia dalam tempoh satu (1) bulan: Corrections need to verified by Supervisor(s) in less than one (1) month; Pembetulan tanpa pembentangan dan perlu disahkan oleh pemeriksa dalam tempol atau (or) P3) satu (1) hingga tiga (3) bulan; Corrections without presentation but need to be verified by examiners within one (1) month to three (3) months; ...
- atau (or) P4) Pembetulan dengan perlu disahkan oleh pemeriksa dalam tempoh tiga (3) hingga enam (6) hulan dan pembentangan sepula pada semester berikutnya: Corrections need to be verified by examiners within three (3) month to six (6) months and re-present the proposal in the following semester:

rssnum

Kami sebagai Panel Penilai Peringkat Pertama Penyelidikan kepada pelajar di atas, telah menilai cadangan nenvelidikan ini pada 21/2/2021 (Sunday) dan telah mencanai satu keputusan seperti yang berikut; (We as the Panel of Evaluation of Research Proposal for the above student, have evaluated the Student's Research Proposal on 21/2/2021 (Sunday) and arrived at the decision as stated below):

Cadangan penyelidikan diterima; P1)

(The research proposal is accepted);

atau (or) Cadangan penyelidikan diterima dengan pembetulan. Butir pembetulan diberikan di bawah:

(The research proposal is accepted with amendments. Details of amendments are given below

P2) Pembetulan perlu disahkan oleh Penyelia dalam tempoh satu (1) bulan: *Corrections need to verified by Supervisor(s) in less than one (1) month;* Pembetulan tanpa pembentangan dan perlu disahkan oleh pemeriksa dalam tempoh P3) atau (or)

satu (1) hingga tiga (3) bulan: Corrections without presentation but need to be verified by examiners within one (1) month to three (3) months;....

Pembetulan dengan perlu disahkan oleh pemeriksa dalam tempoh tiga (3) hingga P4) atau (or) enam, (6) hulan dan pembentangan semula pada semester herikutnya; Corrections need to be verified by examiners within three (3) month to six (6) months and re-present the proposal in the following semester;

There is no failure in a proposal assessment

humanities.utm.my





Elements covered in the proposal assessment report

- Tajuk Cadangan Penyelidikan (*Title of Research Proposal*)
- 2. Permasalahan Penyelidikan (Research Problem)
- 3. Persoalan dan Objektif Penyelidikan

(Research Objectives & Questions)

4. Skop Kajian

(Scope of the research)

- 5. Kepentingan Penyelidikan (Significance of the study)
- 6. Kajian literatur *(Literature Review)*
- 7. Metodologi/ Bahan/ Rekabentuk/ Kaedah

(Methodology /Materials/Design/ Methods)





Thank you and all the best!



humanities.utm.my